

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1138 HB	Title: Criminal interview practices	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Corey Patton	Phone: 360-786-7388	Date: 01/21/2025
Agency Preparation: Chris Conn	Phone: 360-704-5512	Date: 01/22/2025
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/22/2025
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

198,111.00

Request # 067-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Section 1 is legislative intent.

Section 2 adds definitions within Chapter 10 of the RCW.

Section 3, beginning December 31, 2026, a statement made by a person during an interrogation conducted by a law enforcement officer shall be presumed inadmissible in court if the officer intentionally engaged in deception to obtain the statement and was related to a misdemeanor or felony investigation or for a juvenile allegation if proven true would constitute an adult felony or misdemeanor. Prosecution may overcome the presumption of inadmissibility if it proves by clear and convincing evidence that the person's statement was voluntary and not made in response to the officer's use of deception.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

No or minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts as this bill does not affect current AOC or court processes.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None